arXiv:nlin/0604048v1 [nlin.SI] 21 Apr 2006

A CLASSIFICATION OF INTEGRABLE QUASICLASSICAL DEFORMATIONS OF ALGEBRAIC CURVES. *

B. Konopelchenko [1], L. Martínez Alonso[2]
and E. Medina[3]
[1] *Dipartimento di Fisica, Universitá di Lecce and Sezione INFN*
73100 Lecce, Italy
[2] *Departamento de Física Teórica II, Universidad Complutense*
E28040 Madrid, Spain
[3] *Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Cádiz*
E11510 Puerto Real, Cádiz,Spain

Abstract

A previously introduced scheme for describing integrable deformations of of algebraic curves is completed. Lenard relations are used to characterize and classify these deformations in terms of hydrodynamic type systems. A general solution of the compatibility conditions for consistent deformations is given and expressions for the solutions of the corresponding Lenard relations are provided.

Key words: Integrable systems. Lenard relations. Hydrodynamic type systems
PACS number: 02.30.Ik.

---

*Partially supported by MEC project FIS2005-00319 and by the grant COFIN 2004 ”Sintesi”

1 Introduction

Algebraic curves find important applications in the theory of integrable systems [1]-[3]. They are particularly relevant [4]-[7] in the study of the zero-dispersion limit of integrable systems and the analysis of Whitham equations. In [6]-[7] Krichever formulated a general method to characterize dispersionless integrable systems underlying the deformations of algebraic curves in the Whitham averaging method. A different scheme to determine integrable deformations of algebraic curves $\mathcal{C}$ of the form

$$F(p,k) := p^N - \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n(k) p^{N-n} = 0. \tag{1}$$

was introduced in [8]-[11]. Here the coefficients (*potentials*) are assumed to be general polynomials in k. Our previous work focused on curves of degrees $N = 2$ and 3 and the aim of the present paper is to complete the analysis by considering the general case of algebraic curves of arbitrary degree N.

The method proposed in [8]-[11] applies for finding deformations $\mathcal{C}(x,t)$ of (1) such that the branches of the multiple-valued function $p(k) = (p_1(k), \dots, p_N(k))^T$ determined by (1) obey an equation of the form

$$\partial_t p_i = \partial_x \Big(\sum_{r=1}^{N} a_r(k, u(k)) p_i^{N-r} \Big), \quad a_r \in \mathbb{C}[k], \tag{2}$$

where a_r are functions of k and $u(k) = (u_1(k), \dots, u_N(k))$. As a consequence of (2) the potentials $u(k)$ satisfy an evolution equation of hydrodynamic type and the problem is to determine expressions for a_r such that (2) is consistent with the polynomial dependence of u on the variable k. That is to say, if $(d_1, \dots, d_N)$ are the degrees of the polynomials $(u_1(k), \dots, u_N(k))$, then degree$(\partial_t u_n) \leq d_n$ must be satisfied for all n. At this point a Lenard relation allows us to formulate a sufficient condition for the consistency of (2) in terms of a system of inequalities involving the degrees d_n only. Thus we are led to the problem of determining the degrees satisfying the consistency condition (*consistent degrees*) for each N. In [9] it was found that for $N = 2$ the consistent degrees (d_1, d_2) are characterized by the inequality $d_1 \leq d_2 + 1$. For $N = 3$ there is only a finite set of consistent degrees given by [11]

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} (0,0,1) & (0,1,0) & (0,1,1) & (0,1,2) & (1,0,0) & (1,0,1) \\ (1,1,0) & (1,1,1) & (1,1,2) & (1,2,1) & (1,2,2) & (1,2,3). \end{array} \tag{3}$$

In the present work, we complete these results. Thus, it is first shown that for $N = 4$ the set of consistent degrees is

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (0,0,0,1) & (0,0,1,0) & (0,0,1,1) & (0,1,0,0) \\ (0,1,0,1) & (0,1,1,0) & (0,1,1,1) & (0,1,1,2), \end{array} \tag{4}$$

and then it is proved that for $N \geq 5$ the consistent degrees $(d_1, \dots, d_N)$ are given by

$$d_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-3, \qquad d_{N-2},\ d_{N-1},\ d_N \leq 1. \tag{5}$$

We notice the fact that no compatible degrees $d_i \geq 2$ arise for $N \geq 5$, so that the degree $N = 5$ represents a threshold for a change in the properties of algebraic curves. This feature is reminiscent of the statement of the classical Abel theorem [12].

By substituting the branches p_i by their Laurent series in k into (2), infinite series of conservation laws follow. It means that the deformations of (1) supplied by our method are integrable. In fact, the corresponding hydrodynamic systems satisfied by the potentials $u_n(k)$ represent the quasiclassical (dispersionless) limits of the standard integrable models arising from the compatibility between generalized (energy-dependent) spectral problems

$$\Big(\partial_x^N - \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n(k,x)\partial_x^{N-n}\Big)\psi = 0, \tag{6}$$

and equations of the form

$$\partial_t \psi = \Big(\sum_{r=1}^{N} a_r(k,x,t)\partial_x^{N-r}\Big)\psi. \tag{7}$$

The work is organized as follows. We first outline our method in Section 2. Then Section 3 is devoted to determine and classify the curves (1) which admit deformations consistent with the degrees of their potentials. Finally, in Section 4 we characterize the hydrodynamic type systems which govern these deformations.

2 Deformations of algebraic curves

In order to write equation (2) in terms of the potentials u_n we introduce the *power sums*

$$\mathcal{P}_s = \frac{1}{s}(p_1^s + \cdots + p_N^s), \quad s \geq 1. \tag{8}$$

One can relate potentials and power sums through Newton recurrence formulas, the solution of which is given by Waring's formula [13]

$$\mathcal{P}_s = \sum_{1\leq i\leq s}^{(s)} \frac{1}{i}(u_1 + \cdots + u_N)^i, \tag{9}$$

where the superscript (s) in the summation symbol indicates that only the terms of weight s are retained, with the weights being defined as

$$weight[u_1^{\alpha_1}u_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots u_N^{\alpha_N}] := \sum_{j=1}^{N} j\alpha_j. \tag{10}$$

Using these variables, equation (2) can be rewritten as [10, 11]

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = J_0 \mathbf{a}, \tag{11}$$

where

$$J_0 = T^T V^T \partial_x \cdot V, \quad \mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \ldots u_N)^T, \quad \mathbf{a} = (a_N, a_{N-1}, \ldots, a_1)^T,$$

$$T := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -u_1 & \cdots & -u_{N-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & -u_{N-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad V := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_1 & \cdots & p_1^{N-1} \\ 1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_2^{N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & p_N & \cdots & p_N^{N-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The elements of J_0 can be easily written in terms of the power sums as

$$\begin{aligned}
(J_0)_{11} &= N\partial_x, \\
(J_0)_{i1} &= (i-1)\mathcal{P}_{i-1}\partial_x - \sum_{l=2}^{i-1} u_{i-l}\mathcal{P}_{l-1}\partial_x - Nu_{i-1}\partial_x, \qquad \text{if } i \neq 1, \\
(J_0)_{ij} &= (i+j-2)\mathcal{P}_{i+j-2}\partial_x + (j-1)\mathcal{P}_{i+j-2,x} \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} u_{i-k}\left[(k+j-2)\mathcal{P}_{k+j-2}\partial_x + (j-1)\mathcal{P}_{k+j-2,x}\right], \quad \text{if } j \neq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The problem now is to determine expressions for $\mathbf{a}$ (in (11)) depending on k and $\mathbf{u}$, such that the flow (11) is consistent with the polynomial dependence of $\mathbf{u}$ on the variable k. That is to say, if $d_n := \text{degree}(u_n)$ are the degrees of the coefficients u_n as polynomials in k, then

$$\text{degree}(J_0\mathbf{a})_n \leq d_n, \quad n = 1, \ldots N,$$

must be satisfied. The strategy [9]-[11] for finding consistent deformations is to solve Lenard type relations

$$J_0\mathbf{r} = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} := (r_1, \ldots, r_N)^\top, \;\; r_i \in \mathbb{C}((k)), \tag{13}$$

and take $\mathbf{a} := \mathbf{r}_+$, where $(\,\cdot\,)_+$ and $(\,\cdot\,)_-$ indicate the parts of non-negative and negative powers in k, respectively. Now from the identity

$$J_0\mathbf{a} = J_0\mathbf{r}_+ = -J_0\mathbf{r}_-,$$

it is clear that a sufficient condition for the consistency of (11) is that

$$\max_{m=1,\ldots,N}\{degree(J_0)_{nm}\} \leq d_n + 1, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N. \tag{14}$$

This condition for consistency only depends on the curve (1) and does not refer to the particular solution of the Lenard relation

In the subsequent discussion we will use an important result concerning the branches $p_i(k)$: Let $\mathbb{C}((\lambda))$ denote the field of Laurent series in λ with at most a finite number of terms with positive powers, then we have [14, 15] :

Newton Theorem *There exists a positive integer l such that the N branches*

$$p_j(z) := \Big(p_j(k)\Big)\Big|_{k=z^l}, \tag{15}$$

are elements of $\mathbb{C}((z))$. Furthermore, if $F(p,k)$ is irreducible as a polynomial over the field $\mathbb{C}((k))$ then $l_0 = N$ is the least permissible l and the branches $p_j(z)$ can be labelled so that

$$p_j(z) = p_N(\epsilon^j z), \quad \epsilon := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi\imath}{N}\right).$$

Notation convention *Henceforth, given an algebraic curve $\mathcal{C}$ we will denote by z the variable associated with the least positive integer l_0 for which the substitution $k = z^{l_0}$ implies $p_j \in \mathbb{C}((z))$, $\forall j$. We refer to l_0 as the Newton exponent of $\mathcal{C}$.*

It was proved in [10]-[11] that the solution of the Lenard relation $J_0\mathbf{r} = 0$ is given by

$$\mathbf{r} = T\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}R, \quad R = \sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i(z)p_i, \quad \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}R = \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial u_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial R}{\partial u_N}\right)^T, \tag{16}$$

with $g_i \in \mathbb{C}((z))$. The problem of choosing the functions g_i such that $R \in \mathbb{C}((k))$ (and consequently $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{C}((k))$) was solved in [11] by introducing the element σ_0 of the Galois group of the curve

$$\sigma_0(p_j)(z) := p_j(\epsilon_0\, z), \quad \epsilon_0 := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi\imath}{l_0}\right). \tag{17}$$

Thus it is clear that the requirement of $R \in \mathbb{C}((k))$ is equivalent to the invariance of R under σ_0 i.e.

$$R(\epsilon_0\, z, \sigma_0\, \boldsymbol{p}) = R(z, \boldsymbol{p}). \tag{18}$$

The scheme now consists in using the *Lagrange resolvents* [12]

$$\mathcal{L}_i := \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\epsilon^i)^j\, p_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \tag{19}$$

to construct functions R satisfying (18) and such that $R \in \mathbb{C}((k))$.

The case $N = 3$ was completely solved in [11]. There arise twelve possible choices (3) which are classified in terms of σ_0 and l_0 according to

Table 1: Classification of (3) according to σ_0 and l_0.

σ_0	l_0	(d_1, d_2, d_3)
$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_2 & p_3 & p_1 \end{pmatrix}$	3	$(0,0,1)$ $(0,1,2)$
$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_2 & p_1 & p_3 \end{pmatrix}$	2	$(0,1,0)$ $(0,1,1)$ $(1,0,0)$ $(1,1,2)$
$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$(1,0,1)$ $(1,1,0)$ $(1,1,1)$ $(1,2,1)$ $(1,2,2)$ $(1,2,3)$

and the invariant functions R in (16) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} l_0 = 3, &\qquad R = zf_1(z^3)\mathcal{L}_1 + z^2 f_2(z^3)\mathcal{L}_2 + f_3(z^3)\mathcal{L}_3, \\ l_0 = 2, &\qquad R = f_1(z^2)(\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2) + zf_2(z^2)(\mathcal{L}_1 - \mathcal{L}_2) + f_3(z^2)\mathcal{L}_3 \\ l_0 = 1, &\qquad R = f_1(z)\mathcal{L}_1 + f_2(z)\mathcal{L}_2 + f_3(z)\mathcal{L}_3, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

with f_1, f_2 and f_3 being arbitrary analytic functions of k.

3 Solutions of the consistency condition

Let us first consider condition (14) for $N = 4$. Taking into account (12) we find that the elements of J_0 are given by

$$(J_0)_{11} = 4\,\partial_x,$$

$$(J_0)_{12} = u_1\,\partial_x + u_{1x},$$

$$(J_0)_{13} = (u_1^2 + 2u_2)\partial_x + (u_1^2 + 2u_2)_x,$$

$$(J_0)_{14} = (u_1^3 + 3u_1\,u_2 + 3u_3)\partial_x + (u_1^3 + 3u_1\,u_2 + 3u_3)_x,$$

$$(J_0)_{21} = -3u_1\,\partial_x,$$

$$(J_0)_{22} = 2u_2\,\partial_x + u_{2x},$$

$$(J_0)_{23} = (u_1\,u_2 + 3u_3)\,\partial_x + 2(u_2\,u_{1x} + u_{3x}),$$

$$(J_0)_{24} = (u_1^2\,u_2 + 2u_2^2 + u_1\,u_3 + 4u_4)\partial_x + 3(u_{4x} + u_2\,u_{2x} + u_2\,u_1\,u_{1x} + u_3\,u_{1x}),$$

$$(J_0)_{31} = -2u_2\,\partial_x,$$

$$(J_0)_{32} = 3u_3\,\partial_x + u_{3x},$$

$$(J_0)_{33} = (4u_4 + u_1\,u_3)\partial_x + 2(u_{4x} + u_3\,u_{1x}),$$

$$(J_0)_{34} = (u_1\,u_4 + 2u_2\,u_3 + u_1^2\,u_3)\partial_x + 3(u_4\,u_{1x} + u_3\,u_1\,u_{1x} + u_3 u_{2x}),$$

$$(J_0)_{41} = -u_3\,\partial_x,$$

$$(J_0)_{42} = 4u_4\,\partial_x + u_{4x},$$

$$(J_0)_{43} = u_1\,u_4\,\partial_x + 2u_4\,u_{1x},$$

$$(J_0)_{44} = (u_1^2\,u_4 + 2u_2\,u_4)\partial_x + 3u_4(u_1\,u_{1x} + u_{2x}).$$

Thus, the compatibility condition (14) reduces to

$$d_1 = 0, \quad d_2 \leq 1, \quad d_3 \leq 1,$$

$$d_4 \leq d_2 + 1, \quad d_4 \leq d_3 + 1,$$

which leads to the proposition

Proposition 1. *For $N = 4$ the degrees (d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4) satisfying the compatibility condition (14) are*

$$\begin{gathered}(0,0,0,1), \quad (0,0,1,0), \quad (0,0,1,1), \quad (0,1,0,0), \\ (0,1,0,1), \quad (0,1,1,0), \quad (0,1,1,1), \quad (0,1,1,2).\end{gathered} \tag{21}$$

In order to derive our general result for $N \geq 5$, we start by proving

Proposition 2. *For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ $(N \geq 5)$ the degrees:*

$$d_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-3, \qquad d_{N-2},\ d_{N-1},\ d_N = 0, 1, \tag{22}$$

satisfy the compatibility condition (14).

Proof. We extend recursively the definition of the weights (10) by

$$weight[(\partial_x^n u_j)\,\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_x, \ldots)] = j + weight[\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_x, \ldots)],$$

where $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_x, \ldots)$ denotes any differential polynomial in $\mathbf{u}$. Taking into account (9) and (12), we find that the elements of J_0 are weight homogeneous with respect to the scaling:

$$(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_N) \ \to\ (\lambda u_1, \lambda^2 u_2, \ldots, \lambda^N u_N),$$

and their weights are given by

$$weight[(J_0)_{ik}] = i + k - 2.$$

For the case $i + k < 2N - 2$ we have $weight[(J_0)_{ik}] < 2N - 4$ and, as a consequence, if the indexes (i, k) satisfy $i + k < 2N - 2$ then $(J_0)_{ik}$ does not involve neither terms of the form u_{N-2}^{j+1}, u_{N-1}^{j+1}, u_N^{j+1}, $u_{N-2}^j u_{N-1}^l$, $u_{N-2}^j u_N^l$, $u_{N-1}^j u_N^l$, $j, l \geq 1$ nor similar terms containing derivatives. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} degree[(J_0)_{ik}] \leq \ \max \ &\{[d_1, \ldots, d_{N-3}], d_{N-2} + [d_1, \ldots, d_{N-3}], \\ &d_{N-1} + [d_1, \ldots, d_{N-3}], d_N + [d_1, \ldots, d_{N-3}]\}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $[d_1, \ldots, d_{N-3}]$ stands for degrees of terms appearing in $(J_0)_{ik}$ which are linear combination of d_1,...,d_{N-3} with entire coefficients.

Now we examine the remaining elements $(J_0)_{ik}$, i.e.

$$(i, k) \in \{(N-2, N), (N-1, N-1), (N-1, N), (N, N-2), (N, N-1), (N, N)\}.$$

- $weight[(J_0)_{N-2,N}] = 2N - 4$, so that $(J_0)_{N-2,N}$ may contain terms of the form u_{N-2}^2, $u_{N-2}u_{N-2,x}$ and we have

$$\begin{gathered} degree[(J_0)_{N-2,N}] \leq \\ \max\{[d_1, \ldots, d_{N-3}], d_{N-2} + [d_1, \ldots, d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-1} + [d_1, \ldots, d_{N-3}], \\ d_N + [d_1, \ldots, d_{N-3}],\ 2d_{N-2}\}. \end{gathered} \tag{24}$$

- $weight[(J_0)_{N-1,N-1}] = 2N-4$. This weight allows the presence of terms such as $u_{N-2}^2\partial_x$ and $u_{N-2}u_{N-2,x}$, which arise multiplied by the coefficients:

$$\mathrm{coeff}[(2N-4)\mathcal{P}_{2N-4}\partial_x,\ u_{N-2}^2\partial_x] = N-2,$$

$$\mathrm{coeff}[u_{N-k-1}(N+k-3)\mathcal{P}_{N+k-3}\partial_x,\ u_{N-2}^2\partial_x] = \begin{cases} N-2 & \text{if} \quad k=1, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad k\neq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{coeff}[(J_0)_{N-1\,N-1},\ u_{N-2}^2\partial_x] = 0.$$

$$\mathrm{coeff}[(N-2)\mathcal{P}_{2N-4,x},\ u_{N-2}u_{N-2\,x}] = N-2,$$

$$\mathrm{coeff}[u_{N-k-1}(N-2)\mathcal{P}_{N+k-3,x},\ u_{N-2}u_{N-2\,x}] = \begin{cases} N-2 & \text{if} \quad k=1, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad k\neq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{coeff}[(J_0)_{N-1\,N-1},\ u_{N-2}u_{N-2\,x}] = 0.$$

  Thus, $(J_0)_{N-1\,N-1}$ does not contain terms in u_{N-2}^2, $u_{N-2}u_{N-2\,x}$ and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &degree[(J_0)_{N-2,N}] \leq \\ &\quad \max\{[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-2}+[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-1}+[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}], \\ &\qquad d_N+[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

- $weight[(J_0)_{N-1,N}] = 2N-3$. Terms of the form $u_{N-2}^2u_1$, $u_{N-2}u_{N-1}$, or similar terms containing derivatives may arise. A direct computation, similar to the one in the previous case proves that there are no terms $u_{N-2}^2u_1$, $u_{N-2}^2u_{1,x}$, $u_{N-2}u_{N-2,x}u_1$ in $(J_0)_{N-1,N-1}$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &degree[(J_0)_{N-1,N}] \leq \\ &\quad \max\{[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-2}+[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-1}+[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}], \\ &\qquad d_N+[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-2}+d_{N-1}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

- $weight[(J_0)_{N,N-2}] = 2N-4$. A direct computation shows that there are no terms u_{N-2}^2, $u_{N-2}u_{N-2,x}$ in $(J_0)_{N,N-2}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} &degree[(J_0)_{N,N-2}] \leq \\ &\quad \max\{[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-2}+[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-1}+[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}], \\ &\qquad d_N+[d_1,\ldots,d_{N-3}]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

- $weight[(J_0)_{N,N-1}] = 2N-3$. One can see that $(J_0)_{N,N-1}$ has no terms $u_{N-2}^2u_1$, $u_{N-2}u_{N-1}$ or similar terms containing derivatives. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &degree[(J_0)_{N,N-2}] \leq \\ &\quad \max\{[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-2}+[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-1}+[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}], \\ &\qquad d_N+[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

- $weight[(J_0)_{NN}] = 2N-2$. This element may involve terms $u_{N-2}u_N$, $u_{N-2\,x}u_N$ or $u_{N-2}u_{N\,x}$. On the other hand, it can be checked, as in the previous cases, that terms $u_{N-2}^2u_2$, $u_{N-2}^2u_1^2$, $u_{N-2}u_{N-1}u_1$, u_{N-1}^2 or similar ones containing derivatives cannot arise. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &degree[(J_0)_{N,N-2}] \leq \\ &\quad \max\{[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-2}+[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-1}+[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}], \\ &\qquad d_N+[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}],\ d_{N-2}+d_N\}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

In summary, by taking into account (23)-(29), we conclude that (14) is satisfied provided that

$$\begin{aligned} &[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}] \leq 1, && 2d_{N-2} \leq d_{N-2}+1, \\ &d_{N-2}+[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}] \leq 1, && d_{N-2}+d_{N-1} \leq d_{N-1}+1, \\ &d_{N-1}+[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}] \leq 1, && d_{N-2}+d_N \leq d_N+1. \\ &d_N+[d_1,\dots,d_{N-3}] \leq 1, && \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Thus, any choice of the degrees verifying

$$d_i = 0, \quad i=1,2,\dots,N-3, \qquad d_{N-2}, d_{N-1}, d_N \leq 1$$

satisfies (30) and in consequence it verifies (14).

□

We next show that (22) constitutes the complete set of degrees satisfying (14).

Proposition 3. *For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ($N \geq 5$) the compatibility condition (14) implies*

$$d_i = 0, \quad i=1,2,\dots,N-3, \qquad d_{N-2},\ d_{N-1},\ d_N \leq 1.$$

Proof. The cases N even or odd must be considered separately. Suppose first that $N = 2M$ with $M \in \mathbb{N}$ ($M \geq 3$). From (12) we have that

$$(J_0)_{1\,2M} = (2M-1)\mathcal{P}_{2M-1}\partial_x + (2M-1)\mathcal{P}_{2M-1,x}.$$

Thus, it is clear that $(J_0)_{1\,2M}$ contains terms in

$$u_1^{2M-1}\partial_x, \quad u_j^2 u_1^{2M-2j-1}\partial_x, \quad j=2,\dots,M-1,$$

$$u_{2M-1}\partial_x, \quad u_{2M-2}u_1\partial_x,$$

and consequently, the condition (14) with $n=1$ implies that

$$(2M-1)d_1 \le d_1+1, \quad 2d_j+(2M-2j-1)d_1 \le d_1+1, \quad j=2,\dots,M-1,$$

$$d_{2M-1} \le d_1+1, \quad d_{2M-2}+d_1 \le d_1+1,$$

or equivalently

$$d_j=0, \quad j=1,2,\dots,M-1, \qquad d_{2M-2}, d_{2M-1} \le 1. \tag{31}$$

By taking now $i=2l$, $j=2M$ $(l<M)$ in (12) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(J_0)_{2l\,2M} &= 2(l+M-1)\mathcal{P}_{2(l+M-1)}\partial_x+(2M-1)\mathcal{P}_{2(l+M-1),x}\\ &\quad -\sum_{k=1}^{2l-1}u_{2l-k}\left[(k+2M-2)\mathcal{P}_{k+2M-2}\partial_x+(2M-1)\mathcal{P}_{k+2M-2,x}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have that $(J_0)_{2\,2M}$ contains a term $u_{2M}\partial_x$ so that

$$d_{2M} \le d_2+1.$$

Since according to (31) $(M \ge 3)$ $d_2=0$, we have that

$$d_{2M} \le 1. \tag{32}$$

On the other hand, we also see that $(J_0)_{2l\,2M}$ contains a term $u_{l+M-1}^2\partial_x$. Hence, the condition (14) with $n=2l$ implies

$$2d_{l+M-1} \le d_{2l}+1, \quad \text{for each} \quad l<M. \tag{33}$$

Now from (33) we deduce:

- By setting $l=1$ in (33), we get $2d_M \le d_2+1$, but $d_2=0$ so that $d_M=0$. Thus,

  $$M \ge 3 \ \Rightarrow\ d_j=0, \quad j=1,2,\dots,M.$$

- Suppose that $M \ge 4$, and put $l=2$ into (33), then we have that $2d_{M+1} \le d_4+1$. But under our hypothesis $d_4=0$, so that

  $$M \ge 4 \ \Rightarrow d_j=0, \quad j=1,2,\dots,M+1.$$

- Suppose that $M \ge 5$, and put $l=3$ into (33), then $2d_{M+2} \le d_6+1$. Again, under our actual hypothesis $d_6=0$, we have that

  $$M \ge 5 \ \Rightarrow\ d_j=0, \quad j=1,2,\dots,M+2.$$

Let us now use induction to prove

$$M \geq k+3 \;\Rightarrow\; d_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, M+k. \tag{34}$$

We have already proved (34) for $k = 1, 2$. Assume that it holds for $k \leq k_0 - 1$ and let us check it for $k = k_0$:

Take $M \geq k_0 + 3$, and put $l = k_0 + 1$ in (33), then we have that

$$2d_{M+k_0} \leq d_{2k_0+2} + 1.$$

As $2k_0 + 2 \leq M + k_0 - 1$ it follows that $d_{2k_0+2} = 0$, so that $d_{M+k_0} = 0$ which proves (34).

Finally, for a given M, take $k = M - 3$, then

$$d_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2M-3.$$

Hence, by taking (31) and (32) into account, we have proved that (14) implies

$$d_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2M-3, \qquad d_{2M-2},\, d_{2M-1},\, d_{2M} \leq 1.$$

We consider now the case $N = 2M+1$ with $M \in \mathbb{N}$ ($M \geq 2$). From (12)

$$(J_0)_{1\,2M+1} = 2M\mathcal{P}_{2M}\partial_x + 2M\mathcal{P}_{2M,x}.$$

Consequently $(J_0)_{1\,2M+1}$ contains terms in

$$u_1^{2M}\partial_x, \quad u_j^2 u_1^{2M-2j}\partial_x, \quad j = 2, \ldots, M, \quad u_{2M}\partial_x, \quad u_{2M-1}u_1\partial_x,$$

and the condition (14) with $n = 1$ implies that

$$2Md_1 \leq d_1 + 1, \quad 2d_j + (2M-2j)d_1 \leq d_1 + 1, \quad j = 2, \ldots, M,$$

$$d_{2M} \leq d_1 + 1, \quad d_{2M-1} + d_1 \leq d_1 + 1,$$

or equivalently

$$d_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, M, \qquad d_{2M-1}, d_{2M} \leq 1. \tag{35}$$

On the other hand, by setting $i = 2l+1$, $j = 2M+1$ ($l < M$) in (12) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(J_0)_{2l+1\,2M+1} &= 2(l+M)\mathcal{P}_{2(l+M)}\partial_x + 2M\mathcal{P}_{2(l+M),x} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{2l} u_{2l+1-k}\left[(k+2M-1)\mathcal{P}_{k+2M-1}\partial_x + 2M\mathcal{P}_{k+2M-1,x}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(J_0)_{2l+1\,2M+1}$ contains the term $u_{M+l}^2\partial_x$, so that the condition (14) with $n = 2l+1$ implies

$$2d_{M+l} \leq d_{2l+1} + 1. \tag{36}$$

By putting $l = 1, 2, 3$ in (36)it follows

- For $l=1$ we have that $2d_{M+1} \leq d_3+1$. Thus,

$$M \geq 3 \ \Rightarrow \ d_j=0, \quad j=1,2,\ldots,M+1.$$

- For $l=2$ it follows that $2d_{M+2} \leq d_5+1$. Consequently

$$M \geq 4 \ \Rightarrow d_j=0, \quad j=1,2,\ldots,M+2.$$

- For $l=3$ the inequality (36) reads $2d_{M+3} \leq d_7+1$ so that

$$M \geq 5 \ \Rightarrow \ d_j=0, \quad j=1,2,\ldots,M+3.$$

Let us now use induction to show that

$$M \geq k+2 \ \Rightarrow \ d_j=0, \quad j=1,2,\ldots,M+k. \tag{37}$$

We have proved (37) for $k=1,2,3$. Suppose that it holds for $k \leq k_0-1$ and let us check it for $k=k_0$. Take $M \geq k_0+2$ and $l=k_0$ in (36), we find

$$2d_{M+k_0} \leq d_{2k_0+1}+1.$$

But $2k_0+1 \leq M+k_0-1$, then $d_{2k_0+1}=0$, $d_{M+k_0}=0$ and (37) follows. Thus, for a given M, if we take $k=M-2$ we have that

$$d_j=0, \quad j=1,2,\ldots,2M-2. \tag{38}$$

Finally, from the expression

$$\begin{aligned}(J_0)_{2\,2M+1} \quad &= \quad (2M+1)\mathcal{P}_{2M+1}\partial_x + 2M\mathcal{P}_{2M+1,x} \\ &\quad -u_1\left[2M\mathcal{P}_{2M}\partial_x + 2M\mathcal{P}_{2M,x}\right],\end{aligned}$$

we have that (14) implies $d_{2M+1} \leq d_2+1$, and consequently $d_{2M+1} \leq 1$. This fact, together with (35) and (38) lead us to

$$d_j=0, \quad j=1,2,\ldots,2M-2, \qquad d_{2M-1},\, d_{2M},\, d_{2M+1} \leq 1.$$

□

From propositions 2 and 3 it follows that

Theorem

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ $(N \geq 5)$ *the degrees* $(d_1,\ldots,d_N)$ *satisfy the compatibility condition (14) if and only if*

$$d_i=0, \quad i=1,2,\ldots,N-3, \qquad d_{N-2},\ d_{N-1},\ d_N \leq 1. \tag{39}$$

4 Hierarchies of consistent deformations

Our next task is to classify all the compatible cases in terms of the corresponding Newton exponent and the element σ_0 (17) of the Galois group of the curve.

We start by considering the case $N \geq 5$. In order to find l_0 and σ_0 for each one of the seven nontrivial choices (39), we study the asymptotic behavior of the N branches p_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ as $k \to \infty$. By writing the potentials as

$$u_n = \sum_{j=0}^{d_n} u_{n\,j} k^j$$

we have:

- $(0, \ldots, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. In this case (1) can be written as

  $$k = \frac{1}{u_{N\,1}} \left(p^N - \sum_{l=1}^{N} u_{l\,0} p^{N-l} \right),$$

  so that

  $$p_j^N \sim u_{N1}\, k \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N.$$

  Consequently, $p_j \in \mathbb{C}((k^{\frac{1}{N}}))$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and

  $$l_0 = N, \qquad \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & p_3 & \cdots & p_N & p_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $(0, \ldots, 0, 0, 1, 0)$. Now, (1) takes the form

  $$k = \frac{1}{u_{N-1\,1}} \left(p^{N-1} - \sum_{l=1}^{N} u_{l\,0} p^{N-l-1} - \frac{u_{N\,0}}{p} \right).$$

  Thus, the roots satisfy

  $$p_j^{N-1} \sim u_{N-1\,1}\, k \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1,$$

  $$p_N \sim -\frac{u_{N\,0}}{u_{N-1\,1}} \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty,$$

  and we find

  $$l_0 = N-1, \qquad \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & p_3 & \cdots & p_1 & p_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $(0, \ldots, 0, 0, 1, 1)$. From (1) we can write

  $$k = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} c_j p^j + \frac{c_{-1}}{u_{N-1\,1} p + u_{N\,1}},$$

for certain coefficients c_j, $j=-1,0,1,\dots,N-1$. Hence

$$p_j^{N-1} \sim \frac{1}{c_{N-1}}\,k \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty, \quad j=1,2,\dots,N-1,$$

$$p_N \sim -\frac{u_{N\,1}}{u_{N-1\,1}} + \frac{c_{-1}}{u_{N-1\,1}}\frac{1}{k} \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty,$$

so that

$$l_0 = N-1, \qquad \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & p_3 & \cdots & p_1 & p_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $(0,\dots,0,1,0,0)$. The equation (1) of the curve implies

  $$k = \frac{1}{u_{N-2\,1}}\left(p^{N-2} - \sum_{l=1}^{N-2} u_{l\,0}\,p^{N-l-2} + \frac{u_{N-1\,0}}{p} + \frac{u_{N\,0}}{p^2}\right).$$

  Then,

  $$p_j^{N-2} \sim u_{N-2\,1}\,k \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty, \quad j=1,2,\dots,N-2,$$

  $$p_j^2 \sim \frac{u_{N\,0}}{u_{N-2\,1}}\frac{1}{k} \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty, \quad j=N-1,N.$$

  Thus, the corresponding Galois group element is given by

  $$\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & p_3 & \cdots & p_1 & p_N & p_{N-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

  and the Newton exponent is

  $$l_0 = \begin{cases} N-2 & \text{if} \quad N \quad \text{is even,} \\ 2(N-2) & \text{if} \quad N \quad \text{is odd.} \end{cases}$$

- $(0,\dots,0,1,1,0)$. From (1) we have

  $$k = \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} c_j p^j + \frac{d_1}{p-b_1} + \frac{d_2}{p},$$

  for certain coefficients c_j, $j=0,1,\dots,N-2$, b_1 and d_k, $k=1,2$. The branches satisfy

  $$p_j^{N-2} \sim \frac{1}{c_{N-2}}\,k \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty, \quad j=1,2,\dots,N-2,$$

  $$p_{N-1} \sim b_1 + \frac{d_1}{k} \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty,$$

  $$p_N \sim \frac{d_2}{k} \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty,$$

so that

$$l_0 = N-2, \qquad \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & p_3 & \cdots & p_1 & p_{N-1} & p_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $(0,\ldots,0,1,0,1)$ and $(0,\ldots,0,1,1,1)$. In these cases (1) implies

  $$k = \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} c_j p^j + \frac{d_1}{p-b_1} + \frac{d_2}{p-b_2},$$

  for certain coefficients c_j, b_k, d_k, $j=0,1,\ldots,N-2$; $k=1,2$. Therefore

  $$p_j^{N-2} \sim \frac{1}{c_{N-2}} k \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty, \quad j=1,2,\ldots,N-2,$$

  $$p_{N-1} \sim b_1 + \frac{d_1}{k} \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty,$$

  $$p_N \sim b_2 + \frac{d_2}{k} \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty,$$

  so that

  $$l_0 = N-2, \qquad \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & p_3 & \cdots & p_1 & p_{N-1} & p_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

These results are summarized in the following table

Table 2: Classification of (39) according to σ_0 and l_0.

σ_0	l_0	$(d_1, \ldots, d_N)$
$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & p_3 & \cdots & p_N & p_1 \end{pmatrix}$	N	$(0, \ldots, 0, 0, 0, 1)$
$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & p_3 & \cdots & p_1 & p_N \end{pmatrix}$	$N-1$	$(0, \ldots, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ $(0, \ldots, 0, 0, 1, 1)$
$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \cdots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & \cdots & p_1 & p_{N-1} & p_N \end{pmatrix}$	$N-2$	$(0, \ldots, 0, 1, 1, 0)$ $(0, \ldots, 0, 1, 1, 1)$ $(0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, 1)$
$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \cdots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & \cdots & p_1 & p_N & p_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$	$N-2$ if N even $2(N-2)$ if N odd	$(0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, 0)$

We end this section by completing the previous table for $N = 4$. Only the special set of degrees $(0, 1, 1, 2)$ remains to be analyzed. The corresponding branches can be expanded as

$$p_i = a_{i\,1} k^{\frac{1}{2}} + a_{i\,0} + \frac{a_{i\,-1}}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \cdots, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{i\,0} &= \frac{{a_{i\,1}}^2\, u_{1\,0} + u_{3\,1}}{4\, a_{i\,1}^2 - 2\, u_{2\,1}}, \\
a_{i\,-1} &= \frac{1}{8\, a_{i\,1}\, (2\, a_{i\,1}^2 - u_{2\,1})^3} \Big[a_{i\,1}^6 \, \left(6\, u_{1\,0}^2 + 16\, u_{2\,0}\right) \\
&\quad + a_{i\,1}^4 \, \left(-5\, u_{1\,0}^2\, u_{2\,1} + 4\, u_{1\,0}\, u_{3\,1} + 16\, \left(-u_{2\,0}\, u_{2\,1} + u_{4\,1}\right)\right) \\
&\quad - 2\, a_{i\,1}^2 \, \left(-2\, u_{2\,0}\, u_{2\,1}^2 + 3\, u_{1\,0}\, u_{2\,1}\, u_{3\,1} + u_{3\,1}^2 + 8\, u_{2\,1}\, u_{4\,1}\right) \\
&\quad + u_{2\,1} \, \left(-u_{3\,1}^2 + 4\, u_{2\,1}\, u_{4\,1}\right) \Big], \\
&\;\;\vdots \qquad\qquad \vdots
\end{aligned}$$

and $a_{i\,1}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are the solutions of the equation:

$$a_1^4 - u_{2\,1} a_1^2 - u_{4\,2} = 0.$$

By labeling its solutions so that $a_{2\,1} = -a_{1\,1}$, $a_{4\,1} = -a_{3\,1}$, we obtain

$$p_2(z) = p_1(-z), \quad p_4(z) = p_3(-z), \quad k = z^2.$$

Thus it follows that

$$l_0 = 2, \quad \sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_1 & p_4 & p_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the table for $N = 4$ is

Table 3: Classification of (4) according to σ_0 and l_0.

σ_0	l_0	(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)
$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & p_1 \end{pmatrix}$	4	$(0, 0, 0, 1)$
$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_3 & p_1 & p_4 \end{pmatrix}$	3	$(0, 0, 1, 0)$ $(0, 0, 1, 1)$
$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_1 & p_3 & p_4 \end{pmatrix}$	2	$(0, 1, 1, 0)$ $(0, 1, 1, 1)$ $(0, 1, 0, 1)$
$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_2 & p_1 & p_4 & p_3 \end{pmatrix}$	2	$(0, 1, 0, 0)$ $(0, 1, 1, 2)$

Let us now turn our attention to the problem of obtaining the hierarchy of integrable deformations (11). It is required to determine the function R of the form (16) satisfying the invariance condition (18). In view of (18) we discuss the different cases according to the corresponding element σ_0 of the Galois group of the curve.

- $\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \dots & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & p_3 & \dots & p_N & p_1 \end{pmatrix}.$

From the tables 1, 2 and 3 we have that $l_0 = N$, $(\epsilon_0 = \epsilon = e^{\frac{2\pi\imath}{N}})$. For $N \geq 4$ the only choice of degrees corresponding to σ_0 is $(0, \dots, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. We look for functions $R_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j p_j$ such that $\sigma_0(R_k) = \epsilon_0^{N-k} R_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. It is easy to check that

$$\sigma_0(R_k) = \alpha_N p_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{N} \alpha_{j-1} p_j,$$

so that the condition $\sigma_0(R_k) = \epsilon_0^{N-k} R_k$ implies that

$$\alpha_{j-1} = \epsilon_0^{N-k} \alpha_j, \qquad j = 2, \dots N-1, N;$$

$$\alpha_N = \epsilon_0^{N-k} \alpha_1.$$

This system admits the nontrival solutions

$$\alpha_j = \epsilon_0^{(N-k)(N-j)} \alpha_N = \epsilon_0^{j\,k} \alpha_N.$$

Thus the functions R of the form (16) which satisfy (18) can be written as

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} z^k f_k(z^N) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \epsilon_0^{j\,k} p_j, \tag{40}$$

with $f_k \in \mathbb{C}((z^N))$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. Taking into account that $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon$ and recalling (19), we see that the functions R can also be written in terms of the Lagrange resolvents as

$$R = f_0(z^N)\mathcal{L}_N + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} z^k f_k(z^N)\mathcal{L}_k,$$

which coincides with the first equation for $N = 3$ in (20).

- $\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & \dots & p_{N-1} & p_1 & p_N \end{pmatrix}$.

The corresponding Newton exponent is $l_0 = N-1$ ($\epsilon_0 = e^{\frac{2\pi\imath}{N-1}}$) and for $N \geq 4$ the degrees of the potentials are $(0, \dots, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, \dots, 0, 0, 1, 1)$. In this case we have that $\sigma_0(p_N) = p_N$, or equivalently $p_N \in \mathbb{C}((k))$. Moreover, we need $N-1$ additional functions R verifying the invariance condition (18). Proceeding as in the previous case we look for functions of the form

$$R_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \alpha_j p_j, \quad \text{such that} \quad \sigma_0(R_k) = \epsilon_0^{N-1-k} R_k,\ k = 0, 1, \dots, N-2.$$

Since the action of σ_0 on the function R_k is given by

$$\sigma_0(R_k) = \alpha_N p_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{N-1} \alpha_{j-1} p_j,$$

the condition $\sigma_0(R_k) = \epsilon_0^{N-1-k} R_k$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{j-1} &= \epsilon_0^{N-1-k}\alpha_j, \qquad j = N-1, N-2 \dots, 2 \\ \alpha_{N-1} &= \epsilon_0^{N-1-k}\alpha_1, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\alpha_j = \epsilon_0^{(N-1-k)(N-1-j)}\alpha_N = \epsilon_0^{j\,k}\alpha_N$, and

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} z^k f_k(z^{N-1}) \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \epsilon_0^{j\,k} p_j + f_{N-1}(z^{N-1}) p_N. \tag{41}$$

Example For $N = 4$

$$\begin{aligned} R \;=\; & f_0(z^3)(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) + z f_1(z^3)(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} p_1 + e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} p_2 + p_3) \\ & + z^2 f_2(z^3)(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} p_1 + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} p_2 + p_3) + f_3(z^3) p_4. \end{aligned}$$

- $\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & \dots & p_1 & p_{N-1} & p_N \end{pmatrix}$.

In this case σ_0, $l_0 = N-2$, ($\epsilon_0 = e^{\frac{2\pi\imath}{N-2}}$). For $N \geq 4$ it corresponds to the sets of degrees $(0,\dots,0,1,0,1)$, $(0,\dots,0,1,1,0)$ and $(0,\dots,0,1,1,1)$. Notice that p_{N-1}, $p_N \in \mathbb{C}((k))$. Let us look for functions

$$R_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \alpha_j p_j, \quad \text{verifying} \quad \sigma_0(R_k) = \epsilon_0^{N-2-k} R_k, \ k = 0, 1, \dots, N-3.$$

We find that

$$\alpha_{j-1} = \epsilon_0^{N-2-k} \alpha_j, \qquad j = N-2, N-3 \dots, 2$$

$$\alpha_{N-2} = \epsilon_0^{N-2-k} \alpha_1,$$

then $\alpha_j = \epsilon_0^{(N-2-k)(N-2-j)} \alpha_{N-2} = \epsilon_0^{j\,k} \alpha_{N-2}$, and

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{N-3} z^k f_k(z^{N-2}) \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \epsilon_0^{j\,k} p_j + f_{N-2}(z^{N-2}) p_{N-1} + f_{N-1}(z^{N-2}) p_N. \tag{42}$$

- $\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_{N-2} & p_{N-1} & p_N \\ p_2 & \dots & p_1 & p_N & p_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$.

This element corresponds to the sets of degrees $(0,\dots,0,1,0,0)$ and, in the particular case $N=4$, to the special choice $(0,1,1,2)$ too. From the discussion in Section 3 it follows that the Newton exponent of σ_0 depends on whether N is even or odd.

* N even: $l_0 = N-2$ ($\epsilon_0 = e^{\frac{2\pi\imath}{N-2}}$). It is easy to see that $p_{N-1} + p_N \in \mathbb{C}((k))$ and $\sigma_0(-p_{N-1} + p_N) = -(-p_{N-1} + p_N)$. On the other hand since σ_0 acts on p_j, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N-2$ and ϵ_0 coincides with the previous one, we have again that

$$R_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \epsilon_0^{j\,k} p_j, \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-3,$$

satisfy $\sigma_0(R_k) = \epsilon_0^{N-2-k} R_k$. Thus R is now given by

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{N-3} z^k f_k(z^{N-2}) \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \epsilon_0^{j\,k} p_j + z^{\frac{N-2}{2}} f_{N-2}(z^{N-2})(p_{N-1} - p_{N-1}) \tag{43}$$

$$+ f_{N-1}(z^{N-2})(p_{N-1} + p_N).$$

Example For $N = 4$

$$R = f_0(z^2)(p_1 + p_2) + z f_1(z^2)(-p_1 + p_2) + z f_2(z^2)(-p_3 + p_4) + f_3(z^2)(p_3 + p_4).$$

- N odd: $l_0 = 2(N-2)$ ($\epsilon_0 = e^{\frac{\pi \imath}{N-2}}$). Again in this case $p_{N-1}+p_N \in \mathbb{C}((k))$ and $\sigma_0(-p_{N-1}+p_N) = -(-p_{N-1}+p_N)$. Moreover, if we look for functions $R_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \alpha_j p_j$ such that

  $$\sigma_0(R_k) = \epsilon_0^{2(N-2-k)} R_k,\ k = 0, \ldots, N-3,$$

  by proceeding as in the previous cases, we find that $\alpha_j = \epsilon_0^{2(N-2-k)(N-2-j)} \alpha_{N-2} = \epsilon_0^{2j\,k} \alpha_{N-2}$, so that

  $$\begin{aligned} R = \sum_{k=0}^{N-3} z^{2k} f_k(z^{2(N-2)}) \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} \epsilon_0^{2j\,k} p_j + z^{N-2} f_{N-2}(z^{2(N-2)})(p_N - p_{N-1}) \\ + f_{N-1}(z^{2(N-2)})(p_{N-1}+p_N). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

  Example For $N = 5$

  $$\begin{aligned} R \;=\; & f_0(z^6)(p_1+p_2+p_3) + z^2 f_1(z^6)(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} p_1 + e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} p_2 + p_3) \\ & + z^4 f_2(z^6)(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} p_1 + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} p_2 + p_3) \\ & + z^3 f_3(z^6)(-p_4+p_5) + f_4(z^6)(p_4+p_5). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the integrable deformations (11), (16) are determined by the expressions of R in (40), (41), (42), (43) or (44) depending on σ_0 and the Newton exponent l_0.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Prof. Y. Kodama for his interest and help during the elaboration of this work.

References

[1] S. P. Novikov, S. V. Manakov, L. P. Pitaevski and V. E. Zakharov *Theory of solitons*, Plenum, New York (1984).

[2] E. D. Belokolos, A. I. Bobenko , V. Z. Enolski, A. R. Its and V. B. Matveev, *Algebro-Geometric approach to nonlinear integrable equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1994).

[3] B. Dubrovin and S. Novikov, Russ. Math. Surv. **44**(6), 35 (1989).

[4] H. Flaschka, M.G. Forest and D.W. Mclauglin , Commun. Pure Appl. Math **33**, 739 (1980).

[5] B.A. Dubrovin, Commun. Math. Phys. **145**, 415 (1992).

[6] I. M. Krichever, Funct. Anal. Appl. **22**, 206 (1988).

[7] I. M. Krichever, Commun. Pure. Appl. Math. **47**, 437 (1994).

[8] Y. Kodama and B.G. Konopelchenko, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35**, L489-L500 (2002);

[9] B.G. Konopelchenko and L. Martínez Alonso, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**, 7859 (2004).

[10] Y. Kodama, B.G. Konopelchenko and L. Martínez Alonso, Theor. Math. Phys. **144**, 961 (2005)

[11] Y. Kodama, B.G. Konopelchenko, L. Martínez Alonso and E. Medina, J. Math. Phys. **46** 113502 (2005).

[12] B. L. van der Waerden,*Algebra*, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1991).

[13] L. Redei, *Introduction to algebra*, Vol. I, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1967).

[14] R. Y. Walker, *Algebraic Curves* Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1978).

[15] S. S. Abhyankar, *Algebraic Geometry for Scientists and Engineers*, Mathematical Surveys and Monograps vol. 35, AMS (1990).